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SA-CME LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After completing this journal-based SA-CME activity, participants will be able to:

■ List common artifacts associated with metallic hardware at MR imaging, as well as the parameters that should be optimized for metallic artifact reduction.

■ Describe the currently available metal-suppression sequences for MR imaging.

■ Identify the appearance of common complications of total joint arthroplasty at MR imaging with metal-suppression sequences.

See www.rsna.org/education/search/RG.

Introduction

Performing a magnetic resonance (MR) imaging examination in a patient with an implanted metal device has in the past resulted in poor-quality images. As a result, these examinations were avoided. However, there exists a need to examine patients who have implanted metal devices. In particular, orthopedic surgeons require imaging studies in patients with joint replacements for a range of different problems and pathologic conditions. In the United States, the number of joint replacements has increased; 332,000 total hip replacements and 719,000 total knee replacements were performed.
in 2010 (1). Simultaneously, there is a trend toward joint replacement occurring in younger, more active patients. The average age for knee replacement decreased from 68 years in 2000 to 66 years in 2009 (2). These joint replacements have a limited life span, resulting in a need for revision arthroplasty in the future. Orthopedic surgeons and their patients have also had to contend with ongoing manufacturer recalls of certain joint replacement models. In these patients, imaging is often required to determine the proper course of action.

There is an ongoing and increasing need for optimal imaging evaluation in patients with joint replacements. Advances in MR imaging hardware and software now allow significantly improved image quality in the presence of ferromagnetic materials. MR imaging of joint replacements is now an effective method for evaluating complications of joint arthroplasty. MR imaging of metal, and specifically that involved in joint arthroplasty, is continuing to evolve as new hardware and new pulse sequences are released. Musculoskeletal radiologists will need to stay current with the latest developments in the field so that the quality and diagnostic utility of our images can continue to improve for the benefit of patients and referring clinicians.

Magnetic Fields and Metals

Various forces affect the local magnetic field and generate MR imaging artifacts (Fig 1). In general, these forces can be separated into diamagnetic, paramagnetic, and ferromagnetic effects (3). Objects or substances with diamagnetic properties exhibit no intrinsic magnetic moment. Diamagnetism exerts a small repulsive effect on the local magnetic field. Materials such as soft tissue, water, copper, and nitrogen demonstrate diamagnetism. On a gross scale, the human body can be thought of as a giant diamagnetic interferent. Paramagnetism has a relatively small attractive effect on the local magnetic field, and paramagnetic substances have a positive magnetic moment. Materials such as iron ions (hemoglobin breakdown products), oxygen, magnesium, and gadolinium have paramagnetic effects. This is the primary effect used with gadolinium-based contrast agents (4). Ferromagnetic substances demonstrate a positive magnetic moment, but, in contrast to paramagnetic and diamagnetic materials, they have a strong positive (attractive) magnetic susceptibility. Examples include solid iron and iron alloys (eg, stainless steel), cobalt, and nickel.

Ferromagnetic effects are the primary drivers of metal-related artifacts at MR imaging.

In modern clinical MR imaging magnets, the $B_0$ field is typically homogeneous to within a few parts per million (ppm), with 1 ppm equal to approximately 60 Hz at 1.5 T. Common orthopedic metallic implants introduce field heterogeneity by means of predominantly ferromagnetic effects, largely in the frequency-encoding and section-selection directions. Three of the most common arthroplasty formulations and alloys perturb the local field to varying degrees, with titanium producing the least susceptibility and stainless steel producing the greatest susceptibility (Table 1) (5).

One important principle is that the magnitude of ferromagnetic susceptibility increases in a linear relationship with $B_0$. Therefore, the overall degree of artifact is substantially lower at 1.5 T than at 3.0 T. The improved signal and image quality afforded by a new 3.0-T magnet may produce images laden with so much metallic artifact that the examination may be of no clinical utility.

Artifacts Due to Metallic Implants

Specific artifacts that can be attributed to metallic implants have been discussed and characterized by several investigators (6,7). The most common and troublesome artifacts and their associated reduction methods are briefly summarized in Table 2.
Figure 1. Magnetic fields and tissue-metal interactions. (a) Diamagnetic materials have no intrinsic magnetic moment and a small repulsive effect on the local field. Materials such as tissue, water, copper, and nitrogen have diamagnetic interactions. The human body can be thought of as a giant diamagnetic interferent. (b) Paramagnetic materials have a positive magnetic moment and a small attractive effect on the local field. Materials such as oxygen, iron ions, magnesium, and gadolinium have primarily paramagnetic properties. This is the primary effect used in gadolinium-based contrast enhancement (increased T1 and T2 relaxation times). (c) Ferromagnetic materials have a positive magnetic moment and a strong positive (attractive) magnetic susceptibility. Materials such as iron, cobalt, and nickel have ferromagnetic properties. This is the primary driver of metal artifact at MR imaging.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Susceptibility of Common Prosthetic Implant Materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metal or Alloy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt-chromium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless steel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In-Plane Distortions (Signal Loss and Pileup Artifacts)

Perhaps the most troublesome source of metal-associated artifacts can be characterized within the broad category of in-plane distortions. Primary among in-plane distortions are signal loss and pileup artifacts (7). These distortions arise from local field inhomogeneities instigated by the ferromagnetic properties of the implant material. Signal loss, a characteristic cloud of low signal intensity surrounding a metallic prosthesis, is mediated by T2* dephasing. To reduce and mitigate this phenomenon, the use of spin-echo, fast spin-echo, or turbo spin-echo sequences is recommended. Spin-echo–based sequences use multiple radiofrequency pulses to refocus and reduce the degree of T2* decay, thus mitigating and reducing signal loss. The use of short echo spacing and an intermediate-to-long echo train length further reduces signal loss artifact (5). Gradient-echo–based sequences should be avoided, as such parameters fundamentally amplify the degree of T2* decay, leading to substantial signal loss.

An additional problem-solving technique includes swapping the phase- and frequency-encoding directions. Metal-related artifact tends to be least prominent when the lengthiest portion of the prosthesis is aligned parallel to the B₀ field. Swapping the phase- and frequency-encoding directions may change the orientation of in-plane artifacts or reveal key anatomic structures near curved or curvilinear portions of a prosthesis. This strategy rarely eliminates artifacts but may shift signal loss or pileup artifacts to less anatomically important locations.

Poor or Absent Fat Suppression

Spectral-based fat suppression is unlikely to be of benefit around metallic prostheses (5). This concept is once again rooted in the ferromagnetic properties of the implant. For successful spectral fat saturation, the local B₀ must be as homogeneous as possible to take advantage of the relatively small differences in the chemical shifts of fat and water. The ferromagnetic properties of the implant cause marked variability in the local field, and this perturbation of local field homogeneity leads to incomplete or absent fat suppression.
A more tenable alternative method is the STIR technique. At its base, STIR relies on the difference in T1 relaxation times of fat and water and is independent of their chemical shift. Similarly, Dixon-based techniques may allow adequate fat suppression around metal. In clinical practice, STIR has proven to be the most robust technique and is our preferred method of fat suppression around metallic implants.

**Geometric Distortion**

The different resonant frequencies of metal or metal alloy and the surrounding tissue cause geometric distortion artifact in a way akin to that in which chemical shift artifact is produced. This cohabitation of metal or metal alloy within the same voxel as the tissue of interest leads to the spreading out of the pixels over a larger range, creating a distorted image. This is most often rectified by increasing the receiver bandwidth. In essence, increasing the bandwidth will limit the spread of geometric distortion and confine it to a smaller pixel range. The obvious trade-off with this technique is a decreased signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). A balance should be sought in which distortion is limited but signal is maintained at diagnostic levels. Because bandwidth varies among vendors and institutions, no specific bandwidth values are recommended.

**Through-Section Distortion**

The majority of conventional metal artifact reduction strategies affect in-plane artifacts. Abnormalities that violate boundaries between sections can be particularly troublesome. Among traditional methods to reduce such anomalies is the use of thinner sections. By obtaining a thinner section, the partial volume effects and diminished SNR of through-plane distortion can be remedied. Almost paradoxically, the SNR can be improved near the prosthesis in the region of through-section distortion by using a thinner section. However, the SNR outside of the region of through-section artifact (the majority of the image) will be decreased.

One additional strategy for metal artifact reduction is to increase the resolution (matrix). Although this approach can provide a further reduction of in-plane artifacts, such improvements are typically at the fringe of diminishing returns. Increased resolution often affords the smallest reduction in metal artifact compared with other available methods. This reduction of in-plane artifact comes at the expense of further reduction in the SNR and increased imaging time because of the increased number of phase-encoding steps.

Several of the strategies previously described, including increasing the bandwidth, decreasing the section thickness, and increasing the resolution, will tend to reduce the SNR. The most effective strategy for gaining back some lost SNR is to increase the number of signals acquired (number of excitations), which will, unfortunately, increase the imaging time.

**Advanced Metal-suppression Packages and Sequences**

The first integrated approach to a metal-suppression sequence was described by Olsen et al (8) and is referred to as the metal artifact reduction sequence (MARS). In its original formulation, this sequence used an increased section-select and radiofrequency bandwidth, thin section selection (3–4 mm), an increased echo train length, decreased echo spacing, and an increased image matrix. This sequence is widely available on most clinical MR imaging systems.

Notably, the term MARS can be used in proprietary nomenclature to refer to a specific pulse sequence or package but may alternatively be used in reference to the concept of metal suppression in general. Because of this ambiguity, not all sequences labeled “MARS” use the same set of parameters. Close scrutiny of any sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifact</th>
<th>Reduction Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In-plane distortion (pileup and signal loss)</td>
<td>High bandwidth, swap frequency and phase, VAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal loss (T2* dephasing)</td>
<td>Spin-echo or fast spin-echo sequences (avoid gradient-echo sequences)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor or absent fat suppression</td>
<td>STIR sequences or Dixon-based techniques (avoid spectral-selective fat-suppression methods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric distortion</td>
<td>High readout bandwidth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Through-section distortion</td>
<td>Thin section selection, SEMAC and MAVRIC sequences</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—MAVRIC = multiacquisition with variable-resonance image combination, SEMAC = slice encoding for metal artifact correction, STIR = short inversion time inversion-recovery, VAT = view-angle tilting.
or package labeled as “MARS” is therefore recommended. In our practice, we use a nonproprietary MARS package at 1.5 T. Our current imaging parameters are listed in Table 3.

Other more advanced methods for metal artifact suppression have recently transitioned from the research realm into everyday clinical practice. Foremost among these are the WARP (Siemens Healthcare, Munich, Germany), SEMAC, and MAVRIC sequences.

**WARP**

The premise of WARP is to optimize the standard principles of MARS and to include multidirectional VAT to further reduce in-plane distortion (9). VAT was initially described by Cho et al (10) and is now a classic and commonly used strategy to reduce in-plane artifacts. An additional gradient is applied during signal readout along the section-select direction. This gradient results in a shearing effect on the pixels of interest. This results in a section that can be viewed as if on a slight angle or tilt. The VAT gradient is equal to the excitation and cancels any off-resonance effects. This concept is shown in Figure 2. One major drawback of VAT is the addition of a small degree of blurring. VAT is unable to correct for through-section distortions.

WARP represents a proprietary package rather than a specific technique, and, in future iterations, it will likely be combined with other multispectral imaging techniques. In our practice, we use WARP with a 3.0-T Siemens magnet at an outpatient imaging center. Our current imaging parameters are listed in Table 4.

---

**Table 3: Typical MARS Protocol**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Echo Time (msec)</th>
<th>Repetition Time (msec)</th>
<th>Section Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Frequency × Phase</th>
<th>Echo Train Length</th>
<th>Bandwidth (kHz)</th>
<th>Frequency Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STIR (coronal)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>256 × 192</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2-weighted (axial)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>384 × 224</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1-weighted (axial)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>384 × 224</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proton-density-weighted (coronal)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2375</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>320 × 224</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>SI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—We use this protocol on a 1.5-T GE Healthcare (Milwaukee, Wis) MR imaging unit. AP = anteroposterior, SI = superoinferior.
SEMAC

Classified as a multispectral technique, SEMAC is a powerful tool to reduce through-section distortion (11). At its core, SEMAC is a two-dimensional fast spin-echo or turbo spin-echo sequence in which each section is phase encoded in the third dimension. This third dimension, or Z-phase encoding, from all of the overlapping sections gives a detailed map of exactly how magnetic susceptibility has distorted the image. Complex reconstruction algorithms are then used to correct these through-section distortions and shift them to their proper positions within the final image. This process is depicted in Figure 3. The primary drawback of SEMAC is the requisite increase in imaging time.

MAVRIC

A second multispectral technique, MAVRIC, is able to address both through-section and in-plane artifacts (13). Some large specialty hospitals use this technique and have demonstrated its efficacy.
MAVRIC is a spin-echo–based sequence that uses a series of frequency-selective excitations, multidirectional VAT, computational postprocessing, and a standard three-dimensional readout. To begin, a frequency-selective excitation and refocusing are applied to interrogate and map a specific range of frequencies over the field of interest. Because the range of frequencies may be relatively small compared with the interrogated anatomy, many section-encoding steps may be required to cover the entire field of view. Multidirectional VAT is applied to further reduce any in-plane artifacts in a manner similar to its use in WARP. At readout, a number of proprietary smoothing and antiblurring algorithms are applied. This results in a dramatic reduction in metallic artifact. The basic physics principles behind MAVRIC are shown in Figure 4. The two primary trade-offs are increased imaging time and increased specific absorption rate (SAR). Because of SAR requirements, MAVRIC is more successful at 1.0 T or 1.5 T (as discussed in the next section).

Further extensions of these concepts include MAVRIC-SEMAC hybrids (15), off-resonance suppression (12), and other hybridized approaches. These techniques appear promising and may soon be available in general clinical practice. Common metal-suppression sequences are summarized in Table 5.

### Table 5: Common Metal-suppression Sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence or Package</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Basic Physics or Principles of Protocol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARS</td>
<td>Most clinical magnets</td>
<td>High-bandwidth sequences (T1-weighted, T2-weighted, STIR, proton-density-weighted); some proprietary MARS sequences include VAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WARP</td>
<td>Siemens Healthcare magnets</td>
<td>High-bandwidth MARS with multidirectional VAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEMAC</td>
<td>Siemens Healthcare magnets</td>
<td>Backfolding of off-resonance signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAVRIC</td>
<td>GE Healthcare magnets</td>
<td>Spatially nonselective excitation and refocusing with VAT and proprietary antiblurring algorithm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field Strength and Specific Issues at 3.0 T

The SAR is related to many imaging parameters but is most closely aligned with the radiofrequency bandwidth, $B_0^2$, and the square of the flip angle. The foundation of good metal suppression is high-bandwidth imaging, a prolonged echo train length, and a large number of signals acquired, making SAR an important concern in metal-suppression imaging. Although these factors may not lead to SAR time-out at 1.0 T or 1.5 T, care must be taken at higher field strengths to ensure that the entire protocol can be performed. For nonmultispectral metal-suppression techniques such as MARS and WARP, SAR time-out is uncommon. However, the increased number of signals acquired and additional section-selection steps in MAVRIC and SEMAC have the potential to lead to SAR time-out.

Some authors suggest that the SAR may be overestimated on clinical magnets because much of the basic physics behind SAR calculations tends to overestimate the effect. As a further illustration, Koff et al (14) and Tayton et al (16) point out that the risk of in vivo damage from MR imaging–related heat deposition is overestimated by comparing it to the heat deposition of cemented prostheses. They note that the exothermic reaction of methyl methacrylate cement polymerization
generates 50°–70°C in vitro and up to 48°C in vivo. This degree of heat deposition is several-fold higher than heat deposition from MR imaging, even at 3.0 T. Thus, by comparison, the degree of SAR-related heating may not be clinically evident or relevant.

Of note, few joint prostheses bear the U.S. Food and Drug Administration label of “MR safe” or “MR conditional.” Therefore, the majority of MR imaging of joint arthroplasty implants is performed in an off-label fashion. It is the responsibility of the radiologist to ensure patient safety (14).

Special considerations are required when using T1-weighted sequences in metal suppression. As discussed earlier, short echo spacing is required for good metal suppression. Short echo spacing is difficult to achieve with T1-weighted imaging, leading to poorer metal suppression. In addition, the low repetition times required for T1-weighted sequences often lead to a substantial increase in SAR at 3.0 T secondary to the increased imaging time. For these reasons, T1-weighted sequences are used less frequently.

Few studies have published data on the utility of SEMAC at a field strength of 3.0 T. In the region of the spine, SEMAC appears efficacious in reducing artifact compared with standard two-dimensional fast spin-echo sequences. One investigation (17) was successful in showing an enhanced degree of detail of the bone-metal interface, improved prosthetic detail, and better delineation of the vertebral bodies, dural sac, and neural foramina.

Complications of Total Joint Arthroplasty

A variety of pathologic conditions associated with joint arthroplasty can be evaluated with use of metal-suppressed MR imaging sequences (Table 6).

Because of its availability and cost, some have questioned if computed tomography (CT) could replace MARS MR imaging, particularly for evaluation of the hip (18). It appears that CT may be superior to MARS MR imaging for evaluation of the bone-metal interface and detection of osteolysis; however, CT continues to be less useful for evaluating pseudotumoral conditions and detecting pathologic muscle conditions. Other investigators (19) have compared the utility of MARS MR imaging and ultrasonography (US) for evaluation of painful hip arthroplasty. They suggest that MARS MR imaging is superior to US for detection of pseudotumoral conditions and pathologic muscle conditions, while US is superior for evaluation of joint effusion and pathologic tendon conditions. It is reasonable to assume that more contemporary methods of metal suppression (WARP, MAVRIC, SEMAC) will outperform MARS, particularly at the bone-metal interface. This ability to show improved detail at the bone-metal interface and greater muscular detail will allow modern MR imaging to likely outperform CT and US for evaluation of these indications.

Pseudotumoral Conditions

Metallosis

The nomenclature surrounding this condition varies according to source and is not uniform in the literature or in all practices (20,21). The preferred terminology in our practice is adverse reaction to metallic debris or simply metallosis. The formal term associated with this disease process in the pathology literature is aseptic lymphocyte-dominated vasculitis–associated lesion (ALVAL)—a histopathologic diagnosis. Because of this lack of clarity in nomenclature, radiologists and orthopedists alike may, often erroneously, refer to any metal-associated pseudotumor or collection in metal-on-metal arthroplasty as an ALVAL (22).

The hallmark appearance of metallosis at MR imaging is a lobular mass adjacent to the joint capsule or bone that shows homogeneous low signal intensity on T2-weighted images and is surrounded by a well-demarcated rim with low T2 signal intensity. On T1-weighted images, the mass shows intermediate-to-high signal intensity, with focal areas of low T1 signal intensity typically at the periphery (Fig 5). Grading systems have been established in the literature (23). Although the degree of clinical symptoms appears to be independent of the imaging findings (24), some investigators (25) have established that MR imaging findings can be correlated with the severity of ALVALs.

In patients with cobalt-chromium–based metal-on-metal arthroplasty alloys, a number of studies (26,27) indicate that the degree of metal-on-metal wear can be evaluated by measuring serum chromium and cobalt concentrations. Serum chromium levels greater than 17 μg/L and serum cobalt levels greater than 19 μg/L

| Table 6: Common Complications of Total Joint Arthroplasty Assessed at Metal-suppressed MR Imaging |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Metallosis                                  | Particle disease                                       |
| Infection                                  | Loosening                                              |
| Tendon injury                               | Muscle injury                                          |
appear to be associated with metallosis and are at least 10-fold higher than in patients without metallosis. There is some indication that joint fluid aspirate levels of cobalt and chromium may also correlate with the degree of tissue degradation observed during explant or revision surgery. However, the range of chromium and cobalt levels in joint fluid aspirate varies widely (28). Unfortunately, to our knowledge, it appears that no large-scale evaluation of cobalt and chromium levels obtained from joint fluid aspirate in asymptomatic patients has been performed. Therefore, the exact utility of joint fluid aspirate for metallosis evaluation remains unclear.

**Particle Disease**

Failure of implanted metallic prostheses can be related to particle disease (29). This heterogeneous group of pathologic conditions refers to the body’s response to microscopic particles of high-molecular-weight polyethylene (from the arthroplasty device liner material), cement, or metal that can be shed from the implant into the surrounding tissues. Unlike metallosis, which is caused by a classic hapten-mediated type IV hypersensitivity reaction, particle disease stimulates macrophages, which in turn may lead to inflammatory synovitis and osteoclast activation (30). This may lead to clinically important osteolysis, fluid collections, and arthroplasty implant failure. A number of inflammatory cytokines are implicated in this process.

Hallmark imaging features of particle disease at T2-weighted MR imaging are fluid collections or effusions with intermediate-to-high signal intensity, with segmental or irregular low-signal-intensity foci at the periphery that represent

---

**Figure 5.** Metallosis in a 61-year-old woman after metal-on-metal right hip total arthroplasty. The patient’s blood levels of cobalt and chromium were elevated; joint fluid metal levels were not obtained. (a, b) Axial T2-weighted (a) and proton-density-weighted (b) 3.0-T WARP MR images show a polilobulated fluid collection (arrows) adjacent to the greater trochanter. The collection shows homogeneous low signal intensity in a and intermediate signal intensity in b, with small low-signal-intensity foci seen at the periphery in b. The halo of susceptibility artifact is greatly reduced by using WARP, enabling visualization and characterization of the fluid collection and its communication with the greater trochanter and the arthroplasty hardware (arrowhead in b). (c) Radiograph shows the outline of the fluid collection (arrow) but does not clearly show its communication with the greater trochanter or the arthroplasty hardware.
Infection

Infection is a known complication of total joint arthroplasty and is reported to occur in varying degrees in the literature. As many as 2%–3% of hip arthroplasties may be complicated by infection, with similar rates observed in other joints. The rate of infection may be higher in certain subpopulations of patients (32). Joint fluid aspiration with fluid culture remains the standard for diagnosis; however, false-positive and false-negative results complicate this method of analysis. The MR imaging appearance of infection can vary but is typified by an ill-defined pattern of soft-tissue edema, variable degrees of abscess formation or fluid collection, draining sinuses, joint effusion, marrow edema, periosteal reaction, and osseous destruction (Figs 7, 8). Peripheral, localized, or even diffuse enhancement can be seen after gadolinium-based contrast agent administration. A frequent finding is laminated synovial reaction or proliferation (29). The findings of infection can be contrasted with those of noninfected effusions, which typically lack associated marrow and soft-tissue edema (Fig 9). Clinically,
Figure 7. Infection and septic loosening in a 42-year-old woman. The patient’s clinical history included substantial right elbow trauma requiring a radial head prosthesis and recent explantation of percutaneous ulnar screws. (a) Radiograph shows findings suggestive of loosening and malalignment of the prosthetic head with respect to the capitellum. (b) Surface-rendered CT image shows beam-hardening artifact (arrows) that limits detail. (c) Coronal proton-density–weighted MR image of the right elbow (without metal suppression) shows marked signal loss artifact (arrow) surrounding the prosthesis. (d) Coronal proton-density–weighted WARP MR image reveals high signal intensity at an irregular bone-metal interface (arrow). There is increased detail in the distal part of the postoperative ulna (arrowhead), which shows posttraumatic irregularity and cortical thinning. (e) Coronal STIR MR image (without metal suppression) is so laden with signal loss and pileup artifacts that it is nondiagnostic. (f) Coronal STIR WARP MR image shows extensive lateral soft-tissue edema (arrowhead), a small effusion (curved arrow), high signal intensity in the ulnar marrow, and a thin rim of high T2 signal intensity at the bone-metal interface of the radial prosthesis (straight arrow). These findings are consistent with infection.
infection may manifest with nonspecific symptoms and signs, and there can be overlap with pseudotumoral conditions, loosening, and soft-tissue injury (Figs 10, 11).

**Loosening**

Aseptic loosening can be the result of poor initial fixation, postoperative mechanical disruption of fixation, or biologic failure of fixation secondary to particle disease and osteolysis (33). Although findings of loosening have been described for multiple imaging modalities, prosthetic loosening has largely remained a clinical diagnosis. Although some consider the imaging findings with all modalities to be in large part secondary, there are signs at MR imaging that support the diagnosis of loosening when it is suspected (29,34). The appearance is characterized by a band or line of intermediate-to-high intraosseous signal intensity that should involve the bone-prosthesis interface. Often, the degree of loosening will lead to trabecular microtrauma and subsequent marrow edema. Early and subtle osteolysis and marrow edema are first identified near the bone-prosthesis interface and may be seen earlier than at radiography (Figs 12, 13).

**Tendon Injury**

Tendon disruptions or tears and tendinopathy associated with total joint arthroplasty show similar morphology and signal patterns to their non-arthroplasty–associated counterparts. Some common sites of tendon injury include the abductor tendons of the hip, the iliopsoas tendon, and the rotator cuff tendons of the shoulder. One key site of tendinous injury is the myotendinous junction (35). Metal-suppressed MR imaging sequences are a powerful tool for visualizing this region.
Figure 9. Effusion in a 73-year-old man with a metal-on-metal left hip total arthroplasty device. (a) Radiograph of the left hip does not show signs of acute disease. (b) Coronal STIR MR image (without metal suppression) shows a small effusion (arrow) and a questionable area of high signal intensity at the poorly visualized bone-metal interface (arrowhead). (c) Coronal STIR MARS MR image adds little additional information. (d) Coronal STIR 3.0-T WARP MR image shows the trochanteric bone-metal interface and the prosthetic neck (arrowheads) in robust detail, as well as the junction of the effusion and regional soft tissues (arrows).

Figure 10. Images in a 66-year-old man with a painful left hip after left hip cobalt-chromium total arthroplasty. Metallosis was suspected clinically because of elevated blood levels of cobalt and chromium. Axial T2-weighted (a) and STIR (b) WARP MR images show an enlarged, bilobed, thick-walled iliopectineus bursa consistent with bursitis (arrow). Aspiration and histologic analysis demonstrated no evidence of ALVAL. This case illustrates that elevated blood chromium and cobalt levels are sensitive but not specific for metallosis.

Figure 11. Effusion and pseudotumor in a 72-year-old woman with ongoing left hip pain several years after metal-on-metal total hip arthroplasty (cobalt-chromium alloy). Infection was suspected clinically. (a) Axial STIR MARS MR image shows an irregular fluid collection with high T2 signal intensity (arrow) adjacent to the greater trochanter. Marked through-plane artifact is seen near the acetabular component (arrowhead). (b) Coronal STIR MARS MR image better characterizes the irregular lobulated fluid collection, which has high T2 signal intensity superiorly (arrowhead) and intermediate T2 signal intensity in the inferior lobulation (arrow). Subsequent fluid aspiration demonstrated no evidence of infection.
Because the myotendinous junction is in close proximity to the arthroplasty components (particularly at the shoulder), care should be taken to ensure that signal abnormalities in this transition zone are not secondary to residual metal artifact.

**Muscle Injury**

Muscular injury associated with orthopedic implants is often not detectable at radiography. Unlike other cross-sectional modalities such as US and CT, MR imaging can be used to more effectively localize muscular lesions, determine their acuity, infer causality, and characterize associated regional findings (36). Metal suppression plays a key role in depicting pathologic conditions of muscle because unsuppressed metallic artifact often obscures muscular boundaries and interferes with muscle signal determination. Fortunately, the site of diseased or injured muscle may be somewhat remote from the arthroplasty device itself. This allows diagnostic-quality images to be obtained even if some degree of metal artifact remains after all attempts at suppression have been exhausted. Muscular injury is typified by architectural disruption or distortion, fluid accumulation, and associated tendinous or myotendinous disruption. The length of the muscle strain or tear has been correlated with recovery time, so care should be taken to evaluate not only the degree of architectural disruption but also the overall length of the abnormality compared with that of the entire muscle (37). Hemorrhage can appear as intramus-
Figure 14. Muscle injury in a 58-year-old man after left hip total arthroplasty. His hip pain had been increasing for 6 weeks. (a) Coronal STIR WARP MR image shows high T2 signal intensity in the obturator externis (arrowhead), a finding that suggests muscle injury. The high degree of signal loss artifact around the prosthesis (arrows) limits regional detail. (b) Axial STIR WARP MR image shows high T2 signal intensity in the obturator externis muscle fibers (arrowhead), a finding consistent with muscle contusion and areas of muscle tear. The bone-metal interface (arrow) is well delineated, and artifact is greatly reduced. This case illustrates the benefit of imaging in an alternative plane to reduce artifact.

cular blood products or a discrete hematoma. MR imaging signal characteristics of muscular hemorrhage parallel those of hemorrhages elsewhere in the body. One example of muscular injury is illustrated in Figure 14. Postinjury sequelae include retraction and atrophy.

The Special Case of Shoulder Arthroplasty
Total shoulder arthroplasty remains a highly problematic area for MR imaging evaluation, even with use of multispectral metal-suppression sequences. The hemispheric geometry of the prosthesis produces complex susceptibility artifacts that are difficult to control with both basic and advanced methods. Despite the high degree of in-plane and through-plane artifacts at the shoulder, metal-suppression sequences can reveal anatomy and pathologic states that cannot be visualized with conventional imaging (Fig 15). Common causes of failed shoulder arthroplasty include rotator cuff abnormalities, deltoid muscle disease, loosening, and implant component failure (38).

Conclusion
Total joint arthroplasty is an increasingly common orthopedic procedure, and patient age at implantation continues to decrease. MR imaging with
metal-suppression sequences is a powerful tool in detection of arthroplasty-related complications. Radiologists play a key role in optimizing MR imaging sequences to provide diagnostic-quality images, and, with the introduction of advanced multispectral metal-suppression sequences, our role in the diagnosis and treatment of arthroplasty-related complications continues to expand.
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