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Abstract: This article provides an atlas of penile prosthetic implants currently in use. It is believed that an understanding of the structure and mechanism of these prostheses is essential to an accurate radiologic assessment of their integrity and to the recognition of the cause in cases of malfunction.

Introduction

Since the 1940's, the treatment of male impotence has included a variety of surgical approaches. While the causes of impotence are many (1,4-8,13-17,20,21), the goal of treatment remains the same: to approximate as nearly as possible normal penile function. The last two decades have seen major advancements, particularly in the area of penile prosthetic implants. Currently, there are more than a dozen different types in use (Table I).

Table I
Penile Prosthetic Implants
(A wide variety is currently available.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semirigid</th>
<th>Inflatable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solid Silicone</td>
<td>Distant Reservoir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small-Carrion</td>
<td>AMS 700 (Scott)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finney Flexi-Rod</td>
<td>AMS 700CX (Scott)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finney Flexi-Rod II</td>
<td>Mentor “3-piece”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malleable Jonas</td>
<td>Mentor GFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malleable</td>
<td>Uni-Flate 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentor 600</td>
<td>Self-Contained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentor</td>
<td>Flexi-Flate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positionable DuraPhase</td>
<td>Flexi-Flate II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OmniPhase</td>
<td>AMS Hydroflex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The earliest procedures used autologous bone or cartilage grafts to mimic erectile tissue, but these ideas were eventually abandoned because of poor long term results; namely, the grafts often became deformed or were resorbed (3). The history of the penile prosthesis since then has involved a series of advancements in two main areas: 1) the development of more durable and inert synthetic materials, and 2) the mechanisms by which they are designed to function (3,7,8,11,13,15-17,20,21).

This article is intended to provide a useful atlas of those models which the physician is likely to encounter in practice. It is hoped that by understanding their architecture and mechanisms, one may be better able to evaluate their integrity radiographically.

Semirigid Penile Prostheses

The first synthetic prostheses employed acrylic materials and, later, silicone. These earliest attempts, the Lash-Loeffler and Pearman prostheses being the most commonly used of these devices, were troubled by migration, patient discomfort, and failure of the materials (3). Although a certain degree of success was achieved, the overall results were not entirely satisfactory.

Since then, a number of improvements in design and materials have produced a variety of semirigid implants which continue to enjoy widespread use, primarily because they are relatively inexpensive, reliable, and easy to implant when compared to the more sophisticated inflatable systems that will be discussed later (9). Often requiring only local or regional anesthesia, they are inserted after dilation of the corpora cavernosa by saline or a mechanical dilator.

SOLID SILICONE PROSTHESES

Small-Carrion (Mentor Corporation, Goleta, CA)

The first widely available prosthesis, the Small-Carrion, is a solid rod composed entirely of silicone (Figure 1). The penis, therefore, is maintained in a permanent state of tumescence. Despite this, patient satisfaction has been high, because it is relatively inexpensive and essentially trouble-free by virtue of its simplicity. By 1984, over 20,000 had been successfully implanted (3).

Figure 1
Small-Carrion prosthesis  (A) Photograph of prosthesis  (B) Radiograph  The cylindrical implants are faintly visible in the corpora cavernosa (arrows). (Photograph courtesy of Mentor Corporation.)
The Finney Flexirod was developed to improve on the concealability of the Small-Carroll by providing a 5 cm segment of softer silicone elastomer at the base of the penis to serve as a hinge, allowing a flaccid mode (7). However, fracture of the rod occasionally occurred (1). Later, the silicone core was reinforced with dacron to create a firmer prosthesis, the Flexirod II.

MALLEABLE PROSTHESES

ESKA Jonas (C. R. Bard, Covington, GA)

The Jonas Silicone-Silver malleable penile prosthesis (Figure 2) was devised to further improve concealability and satisfactory function, by adding a core of silver wire. Being malleable, it was also claimed that it simplified treatment in the case of Peyronie's disease; a corporoplasty was no longer needed to correct erectile deformities (15). This also holds true for other prostheses, including some inflatable models. Fracture of the wire core was reported with the early Jonas prosthesis (2,9,11,22). Each individual silver wire was therefore coated with Teflon, and the twisted strands recoated, with more successful results (9,10).

Figure 2
ESKA Jonas malleable penile prosthesis

(A) Photograph of prosthesis
Note the braided wire core (arrow).
(B) Radiograph The wire core is clearly evident inside the faintly visible silicone corporal implants. (C) CT section through the lower pelvis Streak artifact is created by wire cores in the erect penis (arrow). (Photograph courtesy of C. R. Bard, Inc.)
AMS 600 (American Medical Systems, Minnetonka, MN)

The AMS 600 malleable prosthesis was introduced in 1983. It, too, utilizes a wire core, but stainless steel wire is used in place of silver and the core is reinforced with braided fabric and steel end-caps. A different type of silicone is also used, which is intended to improve malleability while retaining firmness. To date, no fracture of the wire core has been reported (9).

Mentor (Mentor Corporation)

Mentor, which produces the Small-Carrion prosthesis, also makes a malleable prosthesis that contains a stainless steel wire core (Figure 3). It differs in that the wire is coiled in a spiral, which is intended to reduce metal fatigue. Its reliability and functional characteristics have yet to be tested in clinical trials.

POSITIONABLE PROSTHESES

DuraPhase and OmniPhase (Dacomed, Minneapolis, MN)

The newest prostheses to be introduced are the “positionable” DuraPhase and Omni-Phase. Both have an outer coating of silicone elastomer, but differ from the previously described prostheses in the design of the core.

The braided wire used in the malleable models has been replaced by a series of articulating plastic (polysulfone) segments. In the DuraPhase prosthesis, a central tensioning cable is connected at both ends to springs secured by metal housings. Like the malleable prostheses, it retains the position in which it has been placed.

The OmniPhase prosthesis utilizes essentially the same design, but has a mechanism which alters the length of the central cable (Figure 4). When activated, tension is placed on the cable and the prosthesis becomes positionable. When the mechanism is deactivated, the tension on the cable is relieved, and the prosthesis returns to flaccidity.

Fracture of the cable has been reported (12) and, unique to the OmniPhase prosthesis, an out-of-phase condition may occur, wherein one corporal cylinder becomes activated while the other remains deactivated. Provided that both units are functioning properly, the situation is readily correctable (18).

Figure 3

Mentor malleable prosthesis  (A) Photograph of prosthesis  Note the spiral wire core. (B) Radiograph  The prosthesis is characterized by its normal “corkscrew” appearance and dense proximal end-caps. (Photograph courtesy of Mentor Corporation. Radiograph courtesy of Dr. Richard L. Fein.)
Inflatable Penile Prostheses

The inflatable prostheses can be divided into two types: 1) self-contained and 2) distant reservoir systems. The essential mechanism of operation is the same: Fluid is pumped into distensible cylinders implanted in the corpora cavernosa. A series of valves regulates the flow in and out of the corporal implants. These devices are more complex than the semirigid prostheses, and therefore have an additional set of problems which may arise. They are also considerably more expensive and difficult to implant. Nevertheless, they are quite popular because of their near-natural performance.
DISTANT RESERVOIR PROSTHESES

**AMS 700 (American Medical Systems)**

The first inflatable prosthesis was introduced in 1973 by Scott and co-workers (20). It consisted of a reservoir placed in the abdomen, two pumps implanted in the scrotum, and two inflatable corporal inserts. Early problems resulted primarily from failure of the materials (6,8,11,16). Developments to eliminate seams, prevent friction points, and create non-kinkable tubing dramatically improved its success rate (8,9,11,16). The original design has continued to evolve, with the consolidation of the two pumps into a single unit. Often referred to as the “Scott” Inflatable Prosthesis, it is manufactured by American Medical Systems as the AMS 500 (Figure 5). A more recent model, the AMS 700CX, has additional reinforcement of the corporal cylinders in order to reduce the incidence of leakage and aneurysmal dilatation (6).

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5**

AMS 700 (Scott) inflatable prosthesis (A) Photograph of prosthesis (B) Radiograph. The abdominal reservoir (short arrows), scrotal pump (long arrow), and corporal implants (open arrows) are clearly demonstrated. The tubing and connectors are also easily seen. (Photograph courtesy of American Medical Systems.)

**Mentor Inflatable Prosthesis (Mentor)**

In 1982, the Mentor corporation introduced a similar "3-piece" inflatable prosthesis (Figure 6). It consists of an abdominal reservoir, a scrotal pump, and inflatable corporal cylinders. It is constructed of a firmer material, called Bioflex polyurethane, in an effort to reduce the complications induced by the wear-and-tear of repeated inflation and deflation. Modifications have been made since its introduction, primarily in the tubing and connectors, but there have been no reports of leakage or aneurysm formation to date (4,5,13,14).
**Mentor GFS (Mentor)**

The Mentor GFS eliminates the need for an abdominal operation, by consolidating the reservoir and pump into a single unit, the "Resi-pump", which is implanted in the scrotum.

(Figure 7). This "2-piece" system, like the "3-piece" Mentor and AMS 700 prostheses, requires assembly in the operating room. The hydraulic fluid is usually sterile saline, which cannot be seen radiographically. One team has routinely used iothalamate meglumine (Con-
ray) mixed with saline without complication (5). Anecdotal reports of malfunction related to high-osmolarity contrast agents, however, have caused many to avoid this practice. This remains to be determined in clinical trial, and the newer low-osmolarity agents may avoid the problem altogether.

**Uni-Flate 1000 (Surgitek)**

The Uni-Flate 1000 prosthesis recently ended its clinical trial period with reportedly high success rates (19). Like the Mentor GFS, the pump and reservoir have been made into a single unit, which is implanted in the scrotum (Figure 8). Unlike the other inflatable prostheses, it is supplied as a pre-filled and pre-assembled system. The hydraulic fluid is non-radio-opaque sterile saline, however.

**SELF-CONTAINED INFLATABLE PROSTHESSES**

Two self-contained inflatable prostheses are currently available. These devices are similar to the semirigid prostheses in that they consist only of corporal implants. Erection is achieved by transferring fluid from a reservoir chamber to an inflatable segment. They are also similar to the semirigid devices in that concealment can sometimes be difficult.

**Hydroflex (American Medical Systems)**

The Hydroflex self-contained penile prosthesis has a reservoir located in the proximal end of the prosthesis. Valves located distally control the flow in and out of the centrally located inflation chamber (Figure 9). As with other fluid-containing systems, leakage has been reported (17).

**Flexi-Flate (Surgitek)**

The Flexi-Flate penile implant is a coaxial system. A pump at the distal tip transfers the fluid from an outer reservoir chamber to an inflatable inner cylinder. Recently modified, the Flexi-Flate II is now available (Figure 10).

---

**Figure 8**

**Uni-Flate 1000 inflatable penile implant** (A) Diagram of prosthesis (B) Radiograph The combined reservoir-pump is clearly seen (large white arrows). The metallic spring in the release ring is part of the valve mechanism. The corporal cylinders are faintly visible (small white arrows) as are their denser cranial ends (black arrow). (Diagram courtesy of Surgitek. Radiograph courtesy of Dr. Thomas H. Stanisic.)

**Figure 10**

**Flexi-Flate inflatable penile implants** (A) Diagram of Flexi-Flate and Flexi-Flate II prostheses (B) Radiograph The proximal metal end caps are clearly seen (short arrows), while the corporal cylinders are only faintly evident (long arrows). (C) Radiograph Using soft tissue technique, the distal pumps are now well demonstrated (arrow). (Diagram courtesy of Surgitek. Radiographs courtesy of Dr. Thomas H. Stanisic.)
Figure 9
AMS Hydroflex self-contained penile prosthesis (A) Diagram of prosthesis (B) Radiograph Only the distal ball valves (large white arrows) and the proximal metal caps (black arrow) can be seen. Note calcification in the pudendal arteries (small white arrow); diabetic vascular disease is a primary cause of male impotence. (Diagram courtesy of American Medical Systems. Radiograph courtesy of Dr. Thomas H. Stanisic.)
Radiographic Imaging

Evaluation of prosthetic implants should begin with AP and oblique radiographs which include the lower abdomen, pelvis, and scrotum. Soft-tissue technique is needed for optimal visualization and additional coned-down views may also be necessary. Care should be taken to insure that the whole system is included, especially in those models with abdominal reservoirs.

In evaluating the fluid-containing prostheses, only those that contain a contrast agent can be assessed for leakage. Tubing and connectors are often visible and should be fully scrutinized for kinks or discontinuity. With the self-contained and semirigid implants, one must be alert for fracture of the silicone, central wire core, or tensioning cable.

Migration of the corporal implants or ancillary components can occur when a friction point develops that causes tissue erosion. It is therefore important to keep in mind the anticipated location of each segment of a prosthetic system. Patient discomfort, in the absence of infection, should alert the radiologist to the possibility that migration may occur. Any prosthetic device may become infected, necessitating removal (1,2,4,5,8,11,13,15,16). Often a rim of fibrosis remains that casts a radiographically visible silhouette of the prosthesis after its removal.

There are often enough clues for the astute diagnostician to suggest the cause of a malfunctioning prosthesis. Knowledge of the architecture and functional design of a prosthesis is the critical first step in achieving that end.
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