Magnetic resonance thermometry: Methodology, pitfalls and practical solutions

Lukas Winter¹, Eva Oberacker¹, Katharina Paul¹, Yiyi Ji¹, Celal Oezerdem¹, Pirus Ghadjar², Alexander Thieme², Volker Budach², Peter Wust², & Thoralf Niendorf¹,³,⁴

¹Berlin Ultra-high Field Facility (BUFF), Max-Delbrück Centre for Molecular Medicine, Berlin, Germany, ²Department of Radiation Oncology, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany, ³Experimental and Clinical Research Centre (ECRC), Charité Medical Faculty and the Max-Delbrueck Centre for Molecular Medicine, Berlin, Germany, and ⁴MRI.TOOLS GmbH, Berlin, Germany

Abstract
Clinically established thermal therapies such as thermoablative approaches or adjuvant hyperthermia treatment rely on accurate thermal dose information for the evaluation and adaptation of the thermal therapy. Intratumoural temperature measurements have been correlated successfully with clinical end points. Magnetic resonance imaging is the most suitable technique for non-invasive thermometry avoiding complications related to invasive temperature measurements. Since the advent of MR thermometry two decades ago, numerous MR thermometry techniques have been developed, continuously increasing accuracy and robustness for in vivo applications. While this progress was primarily focused on relative temperature mapping, current and future efforts will likely close the gap towards quantitative temperature readings. These efforts are essential to benchmark thermal therapy efficiency, to understand temperature-related biophysical and physiological processes and to use these insights to set new landmarks for diagnostic and therapeutic applications. With that in mind, this review summarises and discusses advances in MR thermometry, providing practical considerations, pitfalls and technical obstacles constraining temperature measurement accuracy, spatial and temporal resolution in vivo. Established approaches and current trends in thermal therapy hardware are surveyed with respect to potential benefits for MR thermometry.

Background
Thermal therapies can be classified into two groups: (1) thermoablative approaches with tissue temperatures above 50°C and (2) hyperthermia covering tissue temperatures of 40–45°C. Invasive methods for thermal ablation include laser-induced thermal therapy (LITT), radio frequency (RF) ablation or cryoablation [1,2]. Non-invasive thermoablative approaches involve high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU). Magnetic resonance (MR)-guided focused ultrasound (MRgFUS) can be attributed to either group depending on the clinical indication. Clinical treatments by MRgFUS include breast, bone, liver, prostate and brain tumours, uterine fibroids, neuropathic pain as well as movement disorders such as essential tremor and Parkinson’s tremor [3–6]. Regional hyperthermia (RHT) is a well-established non-ablative approach which is of clinical value as an adjunct to radio- and/or chemotherapy [7]. RHT has been demonstrated to improve local control in various locally advanced tumours in a number of phase II and phase III trials [1]. Intratumoural temperature measurements of the applied thermal dose have been correlated successfully with clinical end points [8–11].

While accurate thermometry is crucial for hyperthermia treatment, invasive thermometry was put into question at an early stage of the development process [12,13]. Potential complications such as haemorrhage, infections or neurological complaints, and other discomfort were observed along with a low acceptance in patients and physicians [12]. Driven by this shortcoming, investigators explored surrogates of invasive thermometry. Two principle strategies were suggested, including minimal invasive thermometry utilising specified anatomical reference points or tracks in hollow organs such as the rectum, vagina, bladder or urethra. Minimal invasive thermometry has been demonstrated to be competitive with invasive measurements in pelvic tumours [14–16]. Alternatively, the traits of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were put to good use for non-invasive thermography. Because of its spatial resolution and anatomical coverage per unit time MRI appears most suitable for non-invasive thermometry versus other physical approaches including impedance tomography, microwave imaging,
computed tomography or ultrasound [16]. Since the advent of MR thermometry [17,18], the first decade of development culminated in techniques allowing for qualitative temperature assessment in thermal therapies [19–21]. The second decade of development advanced the capabilities of MR thermometry towards more robust and reliable in vivo applications [22–25]. The future target of MR thermometry developments is clearly formed around novel methodology and hardware that supports accurate absolute temperature readings in vivo with the current developments already pushing in this direction [26–31]. These efforts are essential to benchmark thermal therapy efficiency, to understand temperature-related biophysical and physiological processes, and to use these insights for defining and setting new landmarks for diagnostic and therapeutic capabilities.

Recognising the technical advances and clinical opportunities of MR thermometry, this review is an attempt to make the (bio)medical and diagnostic imaging communities aware of these developments, so as to engage the interest of potential clinical adopters, to inspire collaborations across disciplinary boundaries, and to attract basic scientists, engineers, hardware professionals, translational researchers, applied scientists and new entrants into the field. In the sections that follow, examples of enabling MR thermometry technology and their applications are provided. Advances in temperature mapping methodology are discussed together with practical considerations and potential pitfalls. Technical obstacles constraining temperature measurement accuracy, spatial and temporal resolution of thermal ablation and hyperthermia treatments are considered. Established approaches and current trends in thermal MR hardware are surveyed together with their practical implications for accurate MR thermometry. A concluding section ventures a glance beyond the horizon and explores future directions. Of course, MR thermometry is an area of vigorous ongoing research, and many potentially valuable developments will receive only brief mention here.

Overview of proton resonance frequency thermometry

Numerous MR parameters are sensitive to temperature changes. This includes but is not limited to proton density [32], T1 relaxation [33,34], T2 relaxation [35,36], apparent water diffusion [37,38] and magnetisation transfer [39]. The merits and limitations of MR thermometry based upon these MR parameters are surveyed in an excellent review [40].

Proton resonance frequency (PRF) is another viable temperature-dependent MR parameter, which is commonly applied for in vivo MR thermometry mapping [20,41,42]. The resonance frequency of hydrogen nuclei is proportional to the magnetic field $B_{mac}(\vec{r})$ at location $\vec{r}$. The relationship of the applied static magnetic field $B_0$ of an MR scanner to the macroscopic magnetic field $B_{mac}$ inside weakly magnetic materials ($|\chi| < 1$) such as human tissue to the final local magnetic field $B_{loc}$ is governed by the Maxwell equations [42]. Assuming a sphere with a volume magnetic susceptibility $\chi$ for macroscopic screening (molecules at a large distance of the proton of interest) and a sphere with the screening constant $\sigma$ for microscopic screening (hydrogen bound molecule itself and its near neighbours), the relationship between $B_{loc}$, $B_{mac}$, and $B_0 = B_0/\epsilon$, can be written [42] as:

$$B_{loc} \approx B_{mac} = \left(\frac{2\chi}{3} + \sigma\right)B_0$$

(1)

The electron screening of water $\sigma_{water}$ increases linearly in the temperature range of thermal ablations and hyperthermia with $d\sigma_{water}/dT = 0.01 \text{ ppm} / ^\circ \text{C}$, which decreases the chemical shift $\delta[\text{ppm}] = (\sigma_{ref} - \sigma) \cdot 10^6$ [41]. It can be used to calculate relative temperature changes $\Delta T$ via phase difference $\Delta \varphi$ measurements to a reference phase $\varphi_{ref}$ at temperature $T_{ref}$ [43]:

$$\Delta \varphi = \varphi - \varphi_{ref} = -\gamma TE(B_{loc}(T) - B_{loc}(T_{ref}))$$

$$= -\gamma TE\left(\Delta B_{mac} - \left(\frac{2}{3}\Delta \chi + \Delta \sigma\right)B_0\right)$$

(2)

With the gyromagnetic ratio $\gamma$, echo time $TE$, $\Delta B_{mac} = B_{mac}(T) - B_{mac}(T_{ref})$ and temperature induced changes in proton electron screening $\Delta \sigma$ and magnetic volume susceptibility $\Delta \chi$. Neglecting the influence of magnetic volume susceptibilities, the temperature can be derived from:

$$\Delta T = \frac{\Delta \varphi}{\alpha \cdot \gamma \cdot TE \cdot B_0}$$

(3)

with the proportionality constant $\alpha = d\sigma / dT$. Apart from adipose tissue, $\alpha$ is relatively independent of tissue type and found in the range of 0.009–0.01 ppm/°C [44,45]. With the characteristics of excellent linearity and sensitivity of the proton electron screening in the investigated temperature range and the advantages of phase over magnitude measurements with (1) robustness towards $B_1$ inhomogeneity and (2) the ability to use fast imaging techniques such as gradient echoes, the PRF method is the most suitable and widely used technique for non-invasive MR thermometry in vivo [40].

Pitfalls and practical solutions

Notwithstanding the ubiquity and success of PRF thermometry, multiple technical, physical and biophysical challenges remain, which need to be addressed. The technical challenges include magnetic field drift of the MR magnet over time and spatio-temporal resolution constraints. Physical challenges are temperature-dependent changes in relative permittivity, electric conductivity and magnetic susceptibility as well as field strength-dependent implications on the imaging techniques used. Biophysical challenges include tissue-dependent chemical shift, tissue perfusion and diffusion changes. Respiratory motion and cardiac activity constitute physiological constraints that govern the accuracy of PRF MR thermometry but also dictate the viable window of data acquisition. An overview of some relevant parameters influencing the temperature error is outlined in Table 1. Equipped with the basic methodology of PRF-based MR thermometry, the sections that follow discuss these pitfalls and provide practical solutions for in vivo applications.
Table 1. Overview of relevant parameters for temperature error estimations: static magnetic field $B_0$, chemical shift $\delta$, permittivity $\varepsilon$, electric conductivity $\sigma_e$, permeability $\mu$ and magnetic volume susceptibility $\chi$. The time dependent changes in $B_0$ are based on a magnetic field drift of the magnet over time. Electromagnetic property $(\varepsilon, \sigma_e, \mu)$ changes with temperature influence phase velocity of the RF pulse, $B_1$ and RF coil loading introducing additional echo time dependent phase changes. Magnetic susceptibility might also change due to perfusion and diffusivity changes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static magnetic field</td>
<td>$dB_0/dt$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical shift</td>
<td>$db/dT$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permittivity</td>
<td>$d\varepsilon/dT$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical conductivity</td>
<td>$d\sigma_e/dT$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability</td>
<td>$d\mu/dT$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic susceptibility</td>
<td>$d\chi/dT$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field drift</td>
<td>0.02 ppm/h (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure water</td>
<td>$-0.01$ ppm/°C (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue (except fat)</td>
<td>$(-0.009–0.01)$ ppm/°C (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>$-0.00018$ ppm/°C (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>$-0.5$ ppm/°C (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog muscle</td>
<td>1.7%/°C (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>3.1°%/°C (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure water</td>
<td>0.0026 ppm/°C (30–45 °C) (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>0.0016 ppm/°C (30–45 °C) (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast fat</td>
<td>0.0039–0.0076 ppm/°C (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>$-0.002$ ppm/°C (68)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Static magnetic field $B_0$**

The static magnetic field may vary over the time course of a thermal therapy. This is particularly relevant for the PRF method, which is very sensitive to magnetic field changes ($\sim-0.01$ ppm/°C in aqueous tissue) and relies on a phase difference calculation relative to a reference map. Assuming a constant temperature, a magnetic field drift of $0.02$ ppm/h would generate an error of $\pm2$ °C over 1 h. Corrections of the field drift can be performed by using external reference probes with a known temperature such as the water bolus typically surrounding the patient in RF hyperthermia treatment [46]. Alternatively, lipids are commonly employed as a reference since their chemical shift is largely immune to temperature changes [19,21,47]. Internal references such as unheated tissue regions [48] or fatty tissue [49] can also be used for drift correction. An example of an in vivo PRF map using fatty tissue for correction is illustrated in (Figure 1a). After suitable regions of adipose tissue have been labelled, phase correction is performed fitting linear or higher order functions to the phase information in the selected fat regions [49].

**Chemical shift**

The temperature-dependent chemical shift has a small tissue dependence in the range of published values $-0.009–0.01$ ppm/°C (except adipose tissue) [44,45] (Table 1), which leads to a reasonably small temperature error. In phantom heating experiments, which are performed for RF safety testing or evaluation of MR thermometry methods, high temperature reading accuracies are desirable. Depending on the phantom, the proportionality constant $\alpha$ can exhibit higher deviations from pure water literature values leading to inaccuracies in temperature readings. A calibration procedure with accurate fibre tracking and monitoring of the temperature evolution at different locations can reduce the maximum temperature error significantly, e.g. from $\sim2.2$ °C to $\sim0.2$ °C [50].

In contrast, the lipid resonance frequency exhibits only a minor temperature-dependent chemical shift of $-0.00018$ ppm/°C [51], Table 1) preventing the PRF method to be used for accurate temperature mapping in fat. For voxels containing both water and fat, where the phase is a sum of both signals, temperature errors increase. Solutions are provided with water and fat-separated thermal (WAFT) MRI using Dixon chemical shift-based fat–water separation to correct for some of the temperature errors while using the fat signal as an internal reference [52]. This approach reaches a temperature accuracy as good as $-0.09 \pm 0.34$ °C in homogeneous phantoms [53]. Fat suppression techniques can be employed using spectral selective RF pulses or inversion recovery techniques with the evolution time being adjusted based upon the $T_1$ relaxation time of fat [54]. These techniques are sensitive to inhomogeneities in the transmission field which is pronounced at higher magnetic field strengths [55]. Water-selective excitation using spatial–spectral (SPSP) RF pulses is another option to suppress lipid signal contributions and to reduce sensitivity to $B_1$ inhomogeneities [56–59].

In general, lipid suppression is promising at higher magnetic fields $B_0$ due to a higher chemical shift between water and fat ($\sim1000$ Hz at $B_0=7.0$ T). An intriguing approach exploiting this characteristic has been demonstrated at 7.0 T using a frequency-selective asymmetric spin-echo-based echo planar imaging (EPI) technique [28]. This approach employed a slice selection gradient reversal methodology for fat suppression. The gradient reversal using gradients of differing polarity for excitation and refocusing together with bandwidth-time product changes of the radio-frequency pulses allowed imaging of on-resonant species and suppression of off-resonant species [28]. This approach yielded an in vivo temperature stability in the human brain of $-0.1 \pm 0.3$ °C [28].

**Electromagnetic tissue properties**

Temperature-dependent changes in the electromagnetic properties of tissue might have various implications. An increasing temperature decreases the relative permittivity ($\sim0.5$%/°C for water [60]) and increases the electric conductivity ($\sim1.7$%/°C for dog muscle [61]) of tissue, which both have implications on phase velocity $v_p$ in a lossy medium or tissue:

$$v_p = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\mu\varepsilon} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\sigma_e}{\omega\varepsilon} \right)^2 + 1 \right]^{-1/2}}$$  (4)
with the absolute permittivity $\varepsilon$, the permeability $\mu$, the angular frequency $\omega$ and the electrical conductivity $\sigma_{el}$. This leads to changes in transmit ($B_1^+$) and receive ($B_1^-$) phase due to alterations in phase propagation speed (Equation 4) [60].

While this effect is mostly significant in larger heating volumes as in deep regional RF hyperthermia, it can be corrected easily utilising a double-echo method correcting the generated echo-time-dependent temperature coefficient [60].
The extension of Equation 3 allows for a simple correction of this effect:

$$\Delta T_{corr} = \frac{\left( \Delta \varphi_{sample}(TE_2) - \Delta \varphi_{sample}(TE_1) \right)}{\gamma \alpha B_0 (TE_2 - TE_1)} \left( \Delta \varphi_{ref}(TE_2) - \Delta \varphi_{ref}(TE_1) \right)$$

with $\varphi_{ref}$ being a reference unaffected by temperature change (water bolus) or resonance frequency shift (fat) in order to compensate for the $B_0$ field drift over time. The temperature error due to phase retardation is relatively constant for low and high field MR applications [60].

In addition to the aforementioned changes in phase velocity, relative permittivity and electric conductivity changes might further influence $B_1^*$ and $B_1$. This effect is a consequence of direct power losses due to increased electrical conductivity ($\sigma$) [60] as well as changes in tissue impedance, which determines RF coil loading. Depending on the RF coil type and its sensitivity to these changes and the heated volume, this might influence the scattering parameters increasing total reflected power and/or coupling in multi-channel arrays modifying $B_1$ amplitude and phase distribution.

The permeability $\mu$ or magnetic susceptibility $\chi$ of tissue with the relation $\mu = \mu_0 \mu_r = \mu_0 (\chi + 1)$ is also temperature dependent and influences phase velocity (Equation 4). However, the temperature increase of the permeability is rather low ($3 \times 10^{-7}%/^\circ C$ for pure water) as compared to temperature-induced changes in permittivity and conductivity and hence can be neglected [60].

**Magnetic susceptibility**

Temperature dependent susceptibility might compromise the local magnetic field $B_0$ and the surrounding macroscopic field $B_{mac}$ [43]. This is a major drawback of the PRF method for accurate MR thermometry in and around fatty tissues [43,62]. While the temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility of water-based tissue is rather small with $d\chi_{water}/dT = 0.0016$ $ppm /^\circ C$ (muscle tissue [42]) as compared to the changes in the electron screening constant, the susceptibility of fat $d\chi_{fat}/dT = 0.0039 - 0.0076$ $ppm /^\circ C$ (breast fat [43]) is close to the proton electron screening of water [43] (Table 1). High fat content tissue consequently hampers temperature reading accuracy. Simulations in breast tissue demonstrated a maximum error of $-8.6 ^\circ C$ for an absolute temperature change of $\Delta T = 30 ^\circ C$ in HIFU ablation [43]. Assuming more realistic temperature-dependent susceptibilities of fat [63], this would result in a standard deviation of $\pm 1 ^\circ C$ for a $\Delta T = 5 ^\circ C$ in a hyperthermia application.

The temperature error $\varepsilon_T$ based on magnetic susceptibility can be estimated given the following equation [43]:

$$\varepsilon_T = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\Delta B_{mac}}{B_0} + \frac{2 \Delta \chi}{3 \Delta T} \right)$$

To mitigate this error, hybrid methods combining the PRF shift and other temperature-dependent MR parameters such as apparent diffusion coefficient [64] or $T_1$ [34,65,66] were investigated. Multi gradient echoes with variable flip angles allow the use of the magnitude information for $T_1$ mapping and temperature-related $T_1$ changes in lipids and the phase information for PRF thermometry in aqueous tissue [65,66]. While such hybrid methods are potentially appealing, its propensity to $B_1$ changes as well as temperature-dependent $M_0$ and $T_2^*$ changes reduce $T_1$ mapping accuracy. The choice of echo time (TE) is furthermore a compromise between $T_1$ mapping accuracy (short TE preferable) and PRF-based MR thermometry ($TE = T_2^*$ for maximum signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) [67]) [65]. Adding a high bandwidth multi-echo read-out allows for some improvement in mean PRF precision up to $\pm 1.3 ^\circ C$ and $T_1$ precision of $\pm 3.5%$ [34].

A recently proposed MR method based on intermolecular multiple quantum coherences is insensitive to macroscopic field changes [26]. With this approach an average error of $0.6 ^\circ C$ for relative temperature measurements and $1.7 ^\circ C$ for absolute temperature measurements was achieved [29,30]. While its imaging speed and SNR limitations currently prevent rapid temperature monitoring in thermal ablation, its potential for MR thermometry in hyperthermia therapies of several minutes heating time is intriguing.

**Perfusion**

Perfusion-based phase changes unrelated to temperature changes may be misinterpreted and might over- or underestimate measured temperatures [21,49]. As an example, the severe over- and underestimation of PRF readings due to perfusion changes in muscle and tumour tissue is demonstrated in (Figure 1b). In general, alterations in the concentration of oxygen, which in its pure form is paramagnetic and diamagnetic when bound to haemoglobin (oxyhaemoglobin) affect the local static magnetic field [49,68]. The direction of perfusion influences these local field changes [69]. Various MR techniques exist, that allow the measurement of perfusion using first-pass bolus exogenous contrasts. This includes dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced (DSC) or dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) perfusion-weighted MRI. Endogenous contrast-based perfusion MRI techniques involve arterial spin labelling techniques [70,71] and intra-voxel incoherent motion approaches [72]. Whether these corrections need to or can be employed depends on the thermal therapy with its boundary conditions on temporal resolution and expected perfusion changes in the region of interest.

**Motion**

The PRF method is a relative temperature measurement relying on phase difference calculations versus a reference measurement. Any intra-scan motion leading to misregistration with the reference phase image results in temperature errors. These errors might be caused by respiratory, cardiac, peristaltic or bulk motion with standard deviations of more than $\pm 10 ^\circ C$ even without any application of heat [25,73]. Structural changes of the treatment area due to tissue coagulation during an ablation procedure [40] can also be misinterpreted as temperature changes. Thermal therapy
duration typically exceeds clinically acceptable breath-holding times of 10–20 s or the duration of a cardiac cycle (~60 beats per min), necessitating respiratory/cardiac gated temperature mapping [74,75] or the use of navigator echoes for motion correction [76]. The so-called multi-baseline methods acquire not only one but a whole library of reference images during the respiratory/cardiac cycle. This library is used to link the phase measured during thermal therapy to the correct reference image by means of an acquired navigator echo [22], non-similarity coefficients [77] or intercorrelation coefficients [78,79]. While robust to periodic motion, reference or baseline methods are prone to irregular physiological motion such as arrhythmia.

Global magnetic field changes due to motion-induced $B_0$ drift or local magnetic field changes due to magnetic susceptibilities constitute another source for errors. Addressing the issue of irregular physiological motion is the forte of referenceless PRF methods which eliminate the use of a temporal reference via estimation of the background phase [23]. Based on a region unaffected by thermal changes or a region unaffected by a thermal phase shift (fat) around the region of interest for thermal monitoring, the background phase information is fitted by a polynomial function [23,49,80,81]. Using a reweighted regression, even regions affected by thermal changes can be used to estimate the background phase [82]. Since referenceless methods alone are sensitive to phase variations at organ interfaces due to susceptibility changes, combined referenceless multi-baseline methods have been suggested to improve robustness [83]. In addition, these methods hold the promise of tracking motion such as organ deformation using intrinsic vasculature as landmarks [84]. Referenceless methods have been successfully applied in vivo [85,86].

Implants

A source of strong susceptibility artefacts and even signal voids are electrically conductive implants including stents, clips, sleeves, small osteosynthetic components, for example, which are no contraindication for RF-hyperthermia, but disturb MRI. Even if the targeted region for the thermal therapy treatment is located sufficiently far away from these artefacts, near-implant temperature measurements might nevertheless be crucial for safety assessment. For the high powers applied in RF hyperthermia treatment, significant temperature elevations can occur around electrically conductive implants due to electromagnetic induction. To avoid tissue damage these temperature elevations can be estimated using a fast analytical approach which has been demonstrated for coronary stents and which can be easily incorporated for RF-hyperthermia treatment planning software [87]. However, for the majority of implants available, these generalised and rapid estimations are still missing, rendering online MR thermometry of near-implant temperatures necessary. (Figure 2a), which displays magnitude and phase images acquired in and around an electrically conductive coronary stent at 7.0 T, exemplifies the difficulties determining reliable temperature maps. The increasing number of phase wraps at prolonged TE for a constant spatial resolution reduces the range of sampled phase values and increases the risk of voxel misregistration, all of which reduces the fidelity of PRF MR thermometry. Even at shorter echo times, the calculated temperature maps display artefacts inside the stent as well as in regions in close vicinity to the stent. These artefacts are less pronounced in an imaging plane positioned a few millimetres away from the stent (Figure 2b). This makes the evaluation of very local temperature challenging. However, with prior knowledge of the expected EM induction effects and thermal distributions, accuracies of MR thermometry using the PRF method correlate fairly well (~1°C) with fibre optic temperature probes (Figure 2c) [87,88]. Unlike the PRF method, $T_1$-based MR thermometry methods avoid the strong sensitivity towards susceptibility differences, and hence provide superior fidelity (<1°C) over PRF if benchmarked against fibre-optic temperature measurements [33,89,90].

Figure 2. (a) Echo time-dependent magnitude and phase images at 7.0 T of a coronary stent placed in an agar phantom. Signal voids and phase wraps evolve with prolonged echo times. (b) MR photograph of a coronary stent (left) used in RF heating experiments. PRF thermometry through the plane of the stent (middle) and in the plane slightly above the stent (right) using a double echo method ($TE_1$ and $TE_2$), where imaging artefacts are reduced. (c) Comparison of MR thermometry and fibre-optic thermometry in regions of the stent and surface regions of a homogeneous phantom [87].
Implications of thermal therapy hardware

The choice of hardware to induce temperature changes in tissue has significant implications on the feasibility, accuracy and/or spatial and temporal resolution of MR thermometry. In this section implications of invasive and non-invasive devices utilised for thermal therapies are discussed.

Interstitial devices

For interstitial and endocavitary hyperthermia treatments or ablation, devices are implanted in the target region, e.g. within the tumour. Typically, microwave or RF antennas, US transducers or laser fibres are utilised [91,92]. While laser fibres are relatively artefact free, RF ablative devices disturb the static magnetic field $B_0$ and cause susceptibility artefacts along with transmission and reception field perturbations, making accurate thermal dose assessment in close vicinity to the device elusive. PRF-based methods can provide a qualitative heating assessment around metal, but fail to provide accurate quantitative temperature data [93]. Here, T$_1$-based temperature mapping is more promising but still suffers from trade-offs in speed and accuracy [33,94]. Imaging methods exist that correct for slice-selection and frequency encoding distortions such as slice encoding for metal artefact correction (SEMAC) [95] or multi-acquisition variable-resonance image combination (MAVRIC) [96]. Yet translation towards reasonable spatio-temporally resolved temperature maps is challenging. In general, interstitial devices need to be investigated for possible MR imaging artefacts which might falsify MR thermometry results.

MR-guided hybrid systems

Various non-invasive ablation and hyperthermia methods exist, which are free of the aforementioned challenges for monitoring of interstitial devices. Magnetic resonance guided focused ultrasound (MRgFUS or MRgHIFU) utilises acoustic energy directed at the target region [97]. While MRI is a valuable tool for treatment planning, since cancerous tissue can be visualised, it is furthermore used to guide the ultrasound beam in conjunction with adjusting the power levels by means of MR thermometry. In this procedure birdcage RF coils or large volume body RF coils are commonly used for signal transmission and reception for PRF or T$_1$ mapping-based MR thermometry [97]. Temperature mapping accuracy is a trade-off between spatial and temporal resolution and is highly dependent on the SNR available either for the MR thermometry method itself or for additional correction methods (B$_1$ mapping, perfusion measurements, for example). Local multichannel receive RF coils can enhance SNR significantly [98], which can be used for high spatial resolution MRI or parallel imaging techniques that accelerate image acquisition [99–102]. While these multi-channel receive RF coils are in clinical practice in diagnostic MRI applications, integration in a MRgFUS treatment device is challenging due to possible interferences of the ultrasound beam and the metallic/conducting structures of the RF coil array. To relax this issue, customised phased array RF coils can be applied, which improve SNR as well as image acquisition speed [103]. The construction of these arrays, however, is a compromise in RF coil design. The conductive path of the RF coil elements needs to be built ‘around’ the ultrasound transducers or positioned behind the water bath required for coupling of the acoustic energy, preventing higher SNR to be achieved [103].

A similar challenge to gain the highest possible SNR and parallel imaging performance for high spatial and temporal resolution MR temperature mapping is present in hybrid regional RF hyperthermia systems (Figure 3). These systems utilise electromagnetic fields in the radiofrequency range in order to focus power absorption to the target region [1,24,104–107]. Here the same problem arises with an integration of multichannel receive arrays. The electrically conductive paths of the receive coils positioned closely to the body may influence the E-field distribution produced by the RF applicator for targeted hyperthermia. Other challenges are space constraints due to the magnet bore size and coupling of the RF hyperthermia hardware typically driven at high signal amplitudes (>100 V) in continuous wave transmission while the receive architecture is designed to handle NMR signals in the range of 10$^{-8}$ V [108]. The latter is a potential source of imaging artefacts which needs to be addressed by additional filters or pre-amplifier design integrated into the hardware architecture of the MR system (Figure 3) [108]. These errors may also be attributed to the hyperthermia treatment frequency (100 MHz) being rather close to the MR transmission frequency (64 MHz), while other approaches using higher transmission frequencies (434 MHz) did not produce imaging artefacts. It should be noted that the latter set-ups used lower power levels (2 x 270 W at 434 MHz [109] as compared to 900–1600 W at 100 MHz [108]). Since image artefacts depend strongly on both transmitting and receiving RF hardware, it is therefore crucial to investigate and eliminate possible interferences in order to acquire reliable temperature information.

Integrated systems or thermal MR

Another approach for improved MR thermometry, which is currently under development, is an integrated system designated as thermal MR using the power amplifier and RF antennas for both MRI and targeted RF hyperthermia [31] (Figure 3). This is particularly attractive at ultra-high magnetic fields (B$_0$ ≥ 7.0 T, f ≥ 298 MHz), where multi-channel transmit hardware technology is available. Today, even clinical high field (B$_0$ = 3.0 T, f = 128 MHz) scanners are empowered with the ability to modify amplitude and phase of up to eight independent RF power amplifiers [110]. In contrast to RF hyperthermia using continuous wave (CW) transmission, MR power amplifiers are designed to provide narrow band high-peak power pulses. The average delivered power is determined by the RF pulse shape together with the applied repetition time and limited by the duty cycle and long-term stability of the RFPA. At 7.0 T with an integrated 8 x 1 kW RFPA and ~30% RF cable losses to the RF coil plug, this would result in an average power of 560 W at a duty cycle of 10% [31]. While UHF-MR systems equipped with 8 x 2 kW or even 16 x 2 kW are expected to become available soon, this would allow for an average RF power of 1120 W and 2240 W respectively, assuming similar duty cycle and...
RF losses. The advantage of a pulsed RFPA used for RF hyperthermia application and MR thermometry is the ability to modify the imaging technique in order to perform RF hyperthermia and MRI simultaneously at the same frequency. This approach exhibits the merit of an artefact-free operation without the need for additional hardware modifications [31]. In order to reach sufficient RF power deposition levels in tissue, high power RF pulses used for imaging can be applied, off-resonance pulses can be incorporated in the imaging technique, or a combination of the two. The advantage of using UHF-MR for temperature monitoring is yet to be investigated and benchmarked against lower field systems. In addition to SNR gains and phase noise reduction at UHF [111–113], improvements are expected by using local multi-channel receive arrays for MR thermometry which demonstrated major SNR benefits over the use of the traditional RF body coil approach and are clinically routine (B₀ ≤ 3.0 T) [98,114–116].

Ideal surface current pattern simulations that resemble RF receivers demonstrated that curl-free components dominate at 7.0 T as compared to divergence-free components that dominate at lower fields [117,118]. This justifies the use of birdcage-type RF coils for transmission and loop RF coils for reception at lower fields (B₀ ≤ 3.0 T), while dipole antennas are well suited as transmit/receive elements for UHF MRI at B₀ ≥ 7.0 T [31,119–121] and above [122]. SNR maps [123,124] of a 16-channel bow tie dipole antenna array [121] and a 32-channel loop RF coil array [125] tailored for cardiac imaging at 7.0 T emphasise this, showing higher SNR values acquired with a dipole antenna array versus the loop coil array (Figure 4) [121]. The usage of dipole or ‘dipole-like’ antennas as a targeted RF heating device is very well
1.6 kW [108]. Some research 3.0 T scanners are even which is double the value used in current hybrid systems with 10% duty cycle this would allow for 3.2 kW average power. Recent reports demonstrated for the first time the feasibility of an integrated device that supports diagnostic MR, MR thermometry, and localised RF hyperthermia using bow tie electric dipoles immersed in high permittivity dielectric [31]. In addition to the potential SNR gains for MR thermometry discussed, the use of a higher RF hyperthermia frequency (f = 298 MHz) as compared to current clinically applied regional hyperthermia frequencies (f = 70–100 MHz) helps to create a smaller temperature hotspot. For body applications this allows the reduction of the relevant temperature monitoring volume. This approach helps to preserve imaging time, reduce magnetic susceptibility artefacts created by the heating of unwanted tissue regions, or to use referenceless PRF methods typically applied for HIFU treatment [81]. On the contrary, regional RF hyperthermia could be as well performed in an integrated system at 3.0 T with a proton resonance frequency of 128 MHz. Current 3.0 T MR systems are equipped with 32 kW peak power amplifiers, driven at 10% duty cycle this would allow for 3.2 kW average power which is double the value used in current hybrid systems with 1.6 kW [108]. Some research 3.0 T scanners are even equipped with a multi-channel transmission system allowing for 8 × 8 kW [110]. However, MR imaging performance of electric dipole antennas at 3.0 T still needs to be investigated.

Clinical studies

PRF thermometry has been applied successfully in clinical thermal therapies ranging from mild hyperthermia treatment to thermal ablation. In MRgFUS treatment of uterine fibroids, PRF thermometry is an important marker to adjust sonication power to ensure coagulation at the target and to monitor thermal dose [129,130]. In breast cancer treatment, using the PRF method alone evoked difficulties in the localisation of the thermal hotspot due to fat regions [131]. Here hybrid methods using additional T1 contrast for temperature readings in fat reduced the PRF error significantly [34]. Treating liver cancer with MRgFUS is hindered by the ribcage interfering with an efficient focusing of the acoustic energy and respiratory motion. To counter motion artefacts in PRF thermometry of the liver, respiratory gating, breathing control, or fast 2D spoiled gradient echo sequences with acquisition times of ~3 s and spatial resolutions at ~1 mm [132–134] were employed. While these clinical reports are promising, more validation studies are essential to benchmark MR temperature reading accuracy against reference measurements derived from fibre optic temperature probes (Figure 1b). This research strives to eventually predict accurate necrotised tumour volumes based on thermal dose calculations.

MR-guided RF hyperthermia, on the other hand, has been used successfully to treat human high grade sarcoma of the extremities or pelvis [105,106,135] and recurrent rectal carcinoma [49]. Lengthy treatment times of >1 h evoked magnetic field drifts which were corrected by using external probes, the water bolus surrounding the patient or anatomical fat references with polynomial background phase fitting [46,49,106,135]. With this correction in place the standard deviation of PRF thermometry to fibre-optic measurements was found to be ~1 °C (Figure 1b). Temporal resolution constraints are more relaxed for RF hyperthermia treatments where tissue temperatures AT < 10 °C are applied for several minutes in contrast to MRgFUS ablation with temperatures AT > 30 °C reached in several seconds. On the other hand perfusion effects and their influence on temperature readings are pronouced in RF hyperthermia treatment in particular at treatment frequencies of 100 Mhz or less due to an overall high volume of temperature increase and the associated thermoregulation. An increase in perfusion and consequently diamagnetic oxyhaemoglobin reduces the PRF and overestimates temperatures (Figure 1b) [49], which needs to be corrected.

Conclusions

This review was aimed at encouraging pioneers and early adopters interested in pursuing MR thermometry in basic and clinical research. Multiple clinical studies demonstrated the maturity of MR thermometry for in vivo applications [49,106,129,130]. The sensitivity of the PRF method to motion, perfusion and susceptibility changes, as well as the inability to measure temperatures in fatty tissue, are recognised by the hyperthermia community [104,126–128]. Recent reports demonstrated for the first time the feasibility of an integrated device that supports diagnostic MR, MR thermometry, and localised RF hyperthermia using bow tie dipole antennas for MRI demonstrates the rationale of these elements to be used for an integrated system.

Figure 4. SNR comparison of a 16-channel bow tie dipole array [121] and a 32-channel loop coil array [125] for cardiac imaging at 7.0 T. A 2D CINE FLASH of a short axis view (SAX) (1.1 × 1.1 × 2.5) mm² and its corresponding SNR map [123,124] is displayed for a GRAPPA reduction factor R = 2. The 16-channel bow tie dipole array shows higher mean SNR values as compared to the 32-channel loop coil indicating its feasibility for MRI at UHF (Bo = 7.0 T) [121]. The excellent image quality obtained from using dipole antennas for MRI demonstrates
remaining challenges to improving accuracy and expanding its clinical potential. Here, promising solutions have been provided during recent years that overcome many of these obstacles and have been applied successfully in vivo [25,34,65,66]. Clinical application will ultimately dictate the MR methodologies used to monitor thermal therapies. While the time window for thermal ablations requires real-time monitoring, hyperthermia treatments with rather long treatment durations and smaller temperature increases are less constrained on the image acquisition side. New hardware solutions, in particular integrated MR systems at ultra-high fields to control and monitor RF induced heating, are promising to fully exploit the merits of state-of-the-art MR thermometry [31]. The need for accurate MR thermometry will likely pave the way for further advances in MR methodology, MR technology and MR systems design with the ultimate goal of quantitative temperature mapping [25–28]. The access to reliable temperature information will have major implications on basic and clinical research: enhancing the efficiency of thermal therapies, enabling better understanding of temperature-related biological processes, boosting targeted drug delivery [136] and heat-activated gene therapy monitoring [137] or improving safety and diagnostic capabilities of MRI applications while providing more realistic power limitations [138,139].
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